Case 1:11-cr-00708-LY Case 2:11-cr-01170-URC Doction Central California for the District of ORIGINAL United States of America 11-CR-01170 Frederic Alan Gladle Consent to Transfer of Case for Plea and Sentence (Under Rule 20) ____, defendant, have been informed that a information **O**(indictment, Frederic Alan Gladle guilty information, complaint) is pending against me in the above designated cause. I wish to plead _ Western (guilty, nolo contendre) to the offense charged, to consent to the disposition of the case in the ___ am under arrest • am under arrest, am held) and to waive in which I District of trial in the above captioned District. Dated: (Witness, Approved United S ates Attorney for the Western Central District of District of

California

Texas

2:11-cr-01170-URC USA v. Gladle

Date filed: 12/09/2011 **Date terminated:** 12/16/2011 **Date of last filing:** 12/16/2011

History

Doc. No.	Dates	Description
<u>6</u>	Filed: 12/09/2011 Entered: 12/13/2011	■ Information - Felony
7	Filed: 12/09/2011 Entered: 12/13/2011	Case Summary (CR-72)
8	Filed: 12/09/2011 Entered: 12/13/2011	Memorandum by U S Attorney re Investigative Action
9	Filed: 12/16/2011 Entered: 12/20/2011	Rule 20 - Consent to Transfer Out

PACER Service Center							
Transaction Receipt							
12/27/2011 14:56:34							
PACER Login:	us4061	Client Code:					
Description:	History/Documents	Search Criteria:	2:11-cr-01170- URC				
Billable Pages:	1	Cost:	0.08				

Case 1:11-cr-00708-LY Document 2 Filed 12/27/11 Page 3 of 13 Case 2:11-cr-01170-URC Document 8 Filed 12/09/11 Page 1 of 1 Page ID #:92

Memorandum CR 11 01170

FILED



Subj: <u>UNITED STATES v. FREDERIC ALAN</u>	Date: Decembe 208, 120 - 9 AM 11: 23
GLADLE,	OLERA. MUTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF. LOS ANGELES
To: TERRY NAFISI Clerk, United States District Court Central District of California	From: EVAN J. DAVIS Assistant United States Attorney Criminal Division
The accompanying matter being filed on [date],	
relates toX_ doc	es not relate to
(1) a matter pending in the Criminal Division of the USAG he dates during which Jacqueline Chooljian was Chief of in the Major Frauds Section of the USAO at any time betwith which Jacqueline Chooljian resigned her appointment in the was personally involved or on which she was personally controls.	he USAO; or (3) a matter in which Jacqueline Chooljian
relates toX_ doc	es not relate to
n matter in which Patrick J. Walsh was personally involve n the USAO.	d or on which he was personally consulted while employed
relates toX doe	es not relate to
1) a matter pending in the Riverside Branch of the USAC he dates spanning the date when Sheri Pym became the C when she resigned her appointment in the USAO; or (2) a which she was personally consulted while employed in the	hief of the Riverside Branch of the USAO and the date matter in which Sheri Pym was personally involved or on
relates to doe	es not relate to
1) a matter pending in the Major Frauds Section of the Union 1, the dates spanning the date when Michael Wilner be USAO and the date when he resigned his appointment in the tersonally involved or on which he was personally consultations.	became a Deputy Chief of the Major Frauds Section of the he USAO; or (2) a matter in which Michael Wilner was
X relates to doe	s not relate to
1) a matter pending in the Appellate Section of the USAC me period during which Jean Rosenbluth served as the Chich Jean Rosenbluth was personally involved or on whi JSAO (between 10/1/95 and 5/31/02 as an Assistant Unite pecial Assistant United States Attorney); or (3) a matter is obtained in the company of the personally involved or on which here is the company of the personally involved or on which here is the company of the personal per	hief of the Appellate Section of the USAO; (2) a matter in ch she was personally consulted while employed in the ed States Attorney, and between 8/1/02 and 7/31/08 as a n which current Assistant United States Attorney Richard

Case 1:11-cr-00708-LY Document 2 Filed 12/27/11 Page 4 of 13 Case 2:11-cr-01170-URC Document 7 Filed 12/09/11 Page 1 of 2 Page ID #:90 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CASE SUMMARY

0H 11 01 70	Defendant Number 1	
Case Numer	Year of Birth 1958 2011 DEC - 9 AM 11: 23	
U.S.A. v. PREDERIC ALAN GLADLE ☐ Indictment	rency (FRI DEA etc.) FBI, SIGTARP	
	icable or unknown shall be indicated as "TAY A MIST. OF CALIF.	
NOTE: All items are to be completed. Information not appl	leadie of unknown shall be indicated as LDS ANGELES	
OFFENSE/VENUE	COMPLEX CASE	
a. Offense charged as a:	Are there 8 or more defendants in the Indictment/Information?	
a. Offense charged as a. ☐ Misdemeanor ☐ Minor Offense ☑ Felony	☐ Yes* ☑ No	
☐ Petty Offense ☐ Class B Misdemeanor		
b. Date of offense 10/2007-10/2011	Will more than 12 days be required to present government's	
c. County in which first offense occurred	evidence in the case-in-chief?	
Los Angeles	□ Yes* No	
d. The crimes charged are alleged to have been committed in:		
CHECK ALL THAT APPLY	*AN ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES (UNLESS ELECTRONICALLY FILED)	
✓ Los Angeles	OF THE NOTICE OF COMPLEX CASE MUST BE FILED 2 BUSINESS DAYS BEFORE THE ARRAIGNMENT IF EITHER YES BOX IS	
☐ Orange ☐ Santa Barbara ☐ Riverside ☐ San Luis Obispo	CHECKED.	
✓ San Bernardino ✓ Other nationwide	The Market of Ma	
Citation of offense 18 U.S.C. Section 157, 18 U.S.C.	Superseding Indictment/Information	
Section 1028A	IS THIS A NEW DEFENDANT? ☐ Yes 🗹 No	
botton 192011	military and a state of the sta	
DEX AFRED CACE	This is the superseding charge, i.e. 1 st , 2 nd .	
RELATED CASE Has an indictment or information involving this defendant and	The superseding case was previously filed on:	
the same transaction or series of transactions been previously		
filed and dismissed before trial?	Case Number	
IF YES Case Number		
IF YES Case Number	The superseded case:	
Pursuant to Section 11 of General Order 08-05, criminal cases	☐ is still pending before Judge/Magistrate Judge	
may be related if a previously filed indictment or information		
	☐ was previously dismissed on	
and the present case: a. arise out of the same conspiracy, common scheme,	1.6. 1 vs. the managed line ages 9	
transaction, series of transactions or events; or	Are there 8 or more defendants in the superseding case? ☐ Yes* ☐ No	
b. involve one or more defendants in common, and would	□ Yes" □ No	
entail substantial duplication of labor in pretrial, trial or	Will more than 12 days be required to present government's	
sentencing proceedings if heard by difference judges.	evidence in the case-in-chief?	
Related case(s), if any: MUST MATCH NOTICE OF RELATED	☐ Yes* ☐ No	
CASE n/a	100	
CASE III C	Was a Notice of Complex Case filed on the Indictment or	
	Information?	
PREVIOUSLY FILED COMPLAINT	☐ Yes ☐ No	
A complaint was previously filed on: 10/14/2011		
Case Number 11-2410M	*AN ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES OF THE NOTICE OF COMPLEX CASE MUST BE FILED 2 BUSINESS DAYS BEFORE THE ARRAIGNMENT IF	
Charging 18 U.S.C. Section 1341, mail fraud	EITHER YES BOX IS CHECKED.	
Charleng		
The complaint: is still pending	Is an interpreter required: ☐ Yes ☑ No	
was dismissed on:	IF YES, list language and/or dialect:	
LI was disinissed on,		

FLED

Case 1:11-cr-00708-LY Document 2 Filed 12/27/11 Page 5 of 13 Case 2:11-cr-01170-URC Document 7 Filed 12/09/11 Page 2 of 2 Page ID #:91

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CASE SUMMARY

OTHER		CUSTODY STATUS
Male	☐ Female	<u>Defendant is not in custody</u> :
✓ U.S. Citizen ☐ Alien		a. Date and time of arrest on complaint:
Alias Name(s) Jake Menefee, Lar	ry Stauffer, Walter Fred	b. Posted bond at complaint level on:
Boyd, Jack Heller, and Kevin Don		in the amount of \$
	,	c. PSA supervision?
This defendant is charged in:		d. Is a Fugitive
☐ Only counts:		e. Is on bail or release from another district:
☐ This defendant is designat	ed as "High Risk" p	er
18 USC 3146(a)(2) by the U.S.	. Attorney.	f. Has not been arrested but will be notified by summons
☐ This defendant is designa	ated as "Special Cas	e" to appear.
per 18 USC 3166(b)(7).	<u>.</u>	g. Warrant requested. ☐ Yes 🗹 No
*	□ Yes 🗹 No	-
XD 44-22-44-44-44-44-44-44-44-44-44-44-44-4		D. C. Land to be anato dest
IF YES, should matter be sealed?		a Place of incarceration: State M Federal
The area of substantive law that w	ill be involved in this ca	b. Name of Institution: Bastrop County Jail, Texas
includes:		c. If Federal: U.S. Marshal's Registration Number:
	public corruption	49798
☐ government fraud	□ tax offenses	d. Solely on this charge. Date and time of arrest:
□ environmental issues	☐ mail/wire fraud	10/19/2011 at 8:30 a.m.
□ narcotics offenses	☐ immigration offens	es e. On another conviction: Yes No
☐ violent crimes/firearms	☐ corporate fraud	IF YES □ State □ Federal □ Writ of Issue
Other: bankruptcy fraud, ID the	•	f. Awaiting trial on other charges: \(\square\) Yes \(\square\) No
M Other: <u>January 10</u> 3 manuary 122 min		IF YES □ State □ Federal AND
		Name of Court:
	<u> </u>	Date transferred to federal custody:
		·
		This person/proceeding is transferred from another district
		pursuant to F.R.CrP 20 21 40
		
EXCLUDABLE TIME	to filing indiatms	nt/information EXPLAIN: defendant filed a speedy trial stipulation
and the parties are pursuing a Rule 2	0 into the Western Distr	ict of Texas
		
	·	
10/0/0011		
Date <u>12/8/2011</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Signature of Assistant U.S. Attorney
• .		Signature of Assistant O.q. Attorney
		Evan J. Davis
		Print Name
		# 1 mm - 1 mm

Case 1:11-cr-00708-LY Document 2 Filed 12/27/11 Page 6 of 13 Case 2:11-cr-01170-URC Document 6 Filed 12/09/11 Page 1 of 7 Page ID #:83

FILED

1

2

3 4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

2011 DEC -9 AM 11: 23

OLERX, U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF, LOS ANGELES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CR cll 01170

INFORMATION

[18 U.S.C. § 157(3): Bankruptcy Fraud; 18 U.S.C. § 2: Aiding and Abetting and Causing an Act to be Done; 18 U.S.C. § 1028A: Aggravated Identity Theft]

The United States Attorney charges:

COUNT ONE

[18 U.S.C. §§ 157(3), 2]

INTRODUCTORY ALLEGATIONS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

FREDERIC ALAN GLADLE,

aka "Jake Menefee,"

aka "Kevin Dorsey,"

aka "Larry Stauffer,"

aka "Walter Fred Boyd," aka "Jack Heller,"

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

v.

At all times relevant to this Information:

Defendant FREDERIC ALAN GLADLE, also known as "Jake Menefee," "Larry Stauffer," "Walter Fred Boyd," "Jack Heller," and "Kevin Dorsey" ("defendant GLADLE"), was a resident of Austin, Texas, who operated a foreclosure-delay scheme in Los Angeles and San Bernardino Counties, within the Central District EJD:ejd

- 2. A bankruptcy case is typically commenced with the filing of a petition for bankruptcy. A person seeking relief from debts, referred to as the "debtor," can file a "voluntary petition" for bankruptcy.
- 3. The filing of a bankruptcy petition triggers what is known as an "automatic stay" against the debtor's creditors, who would be immediately forbidden from taking any action on claims they might have against the debtor or any property owned by the debtor when the bankruptcy case was commenced, including foreclosure and eviction actions, unless permission of the bankruptcy court is first obtained.

B. THE SCHEME TO DEFRAUD

4. Beginning in or about October 2007, and continuing to on or about October 19, 2011, in Los Angeles and San Bernardino Counties, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendant GLADLE, together with others known and unknown to the United States Attorney, knowingly and with intent to defraud, devised, participated in, and executed a scheme to defraud lenders, some of whom, such as Wells Fargo Bank, were recipients of funds under the Troubled Asset Relief Program, who were attempting to foreclose on real properties through trustee sales, by means of making fraudulent representations, claims, and promises concerning and in relation to a proceeding under Title 11 of the United States Code.

delay, and obstruct lenders' lawful foreclosure and eviction

The purpose of the fraudulent scheme was to hinder,

- actions against property owners who had defaulted on their mortgages.

 6. The fraudulent scheme operated, in substance, as follows:
- a. Defendant GLADLE distributed and caused to be distributed advertisements to solicit distressed homeowner clients who were interested in delaying the foreclosure of their homes.
- b. In addition, defendant GLADLE obtained clients through referrals from existing clients and from salespersons hired by defendant GLADLE.
- c. Through these advertisements and salespersons, defendant GLADLE told potential clients whose properties were being foreclosed upon (the "distressed properties") that, in exchange for a monthly fee, defendant GLADLE would assist in postponing the foreclosure for at least six months. The clients generally were not told that the postponement would be achieved through defendant GLADLE's use of bankruptcy petitions filed by debtors who were unaware of defendant GLADLE's use of their names and bankruptcy petitions.
- d. After a client had signed up and paid the required fee, the salespersons, operating at defendant GLADLE's direction, would obtain a client's notarized signature on a deed transferring a fractional share, generally 1/100th interest, of their distressed property (the "fractional deed") to a debtor whose name defendant GLADLE had obtained by accessing an

2 3

> 4 5

7 8

6

17 18

19

15

16

20 21

22

23 24

> 25 26

27 28 electronic bankruptcy court docketing system called PACER.

- Defendant GLADLE would direct the client and the salesperson to record the deed transferring the fractional interest to the debtor, and to send the recorded deed to defendant GLADLE by facsimile.
- Defendant GLADLE would download the debtor's f. bankruptcy petition from PACER.
- After obtaining the bankruptcy petition and the recorded fractional deed, defendant GLADLE or a co-schemer operating at his direction would fax a copy of the recorded fractional deed and the bankruptcy petition, both of which listed the same debtor's name, to the client's lender or the lender's representative, thereby notifying the lender of the automatic stay in order to stop the foreclosure sale.
- Upon receiving the facsimile from defendant GLADLE containing the recorded fractional deed and bankruptcy petition, some lenders would file a motion for relief from stay in the debtor's bankruptcy case. Generally, in response to the motion, the debtor would disclaim any knowledge of owning the fractional interest in the distressed property, and the bankruptcy court would grant the motion and permit the foreclosure on the distressed property to continue.
- Once another foreclosure sale was scheduled, defendant GLADLE would cause the client to sign another deed transferring another fractional share of the distressed property to a different debtor, print out the debtor's bankruptcy petition from PACER, and send both documents to the lender or the lender's representative.

- j. Defendant GLADLE would repeat this course of action, thereby repeatedly delaying the sale of the subject properties, for as long as the client paid the monthly fee. In this way, defendant GLADLE was able to delay the foreclosure for years on some properties.
- k. If a client requested that defendant GLADLE reverse the fractional deed transfers and paid a fee to defendant GLADLE, then defendant GLADLE would prepare pre-notarized reconveyance documents showing that the debtors were transferring their fractional interests to the client, forge the signatures of the debtors, and send the reconveyance documents to the client.
- 7. As part of the above-described fraudulent scheme, from on or about December 1, 2007, through on or about October 19, 2011, defendant GLADLE and his co-schemers delayed the foreclosure sales of approximately 1,128 distressed properties. During that same period, defendant GLADLE collected at least \$1,600,000 from clients in monthly fees paid for his illegal foreclosure-delay services.

19 //

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20 //

21 //

22 //

23 //

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

C. EXECUTION OF THE SCHEME TO DEFRAUD

8. On or about June 15, 2009, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, for the purpose of executing and attempting to execute the above-described scheme, defendant GLADLE, using the alias "Kevin Dorsey," sent and caused to be sent a facsimile from Austin, Texas, to California Reconveyance in Chatsworth, California, containing a grant deed from M.A.G. and L.A. to S.C., along with a bankruptcy petition bearing case number 09-21169-AJC in the name of S.C., filed in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Florida, with the intent to defraud a lender.

12 //

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13 | //

14 //

15 | //

16 | //

17 | //

18 | //

19 | //

20 | //

21 | //

22 | //

23 | //

24 | //

25 //

26 //

27 | //

28 | //

Case 1:11-cr-00708-LY Document 2 Filed 12/27/11 Page 12 of 13 Case 2:11-cr-01170-URC Document 6 Filed 12/09/11 Page 7 of 7 Page ID #:89

COUNT TWO

[18 U.S.C. § 1028A]

9. On or about October 19, 2011, in Travis County, within the Western District of Texas, defendant FREDERIC ALAN GLADLE, also known as "Jake Menefee," "Larry Stauffer," "Walter Fred Boyd," "Jack Heller," and "Kevin Dorsey," knowingly transferred, possessed, and used, without lawful authority, a means of identification of another person, that is, a social security number issued to J.M., during and in relation to using unauthorized access devices to obtain a thing of value totaling at least \$1,000, a felony violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1029(a)(2).

ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR. United States Attorney

ROBERT E. DUGDALE Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division

BEONG-SOO KIM Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Major Frauds Section

EVAN J. DAVIS Assistant United States Attorney Major Frauds Section

PAUL ROSEN
Trial Attorney
Fraud Section, Criminal Division
United States Department of Justice

Case 1:11-cr-00708-LY Document 2 Filed 12/27/11 Page 13 of 13

Case 2:11-cr-01170-URC Document 9-1 Filed 12/16/11 Page 1 of 1 Page ID #:94 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WESTERN DIVISION 312 North Spring Street, Room G-8

Los Angeles, CA 90012 Tel: (213) 894-3535

Date: 12/20/11 RECEIVED

DEC 2 7 2011

SOUTHERN DIVISION 411 West Fourth Street, Suite 1053

Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516 (714) 338-4750

EASTERN DIVISION

3470 Twelfth Street, Room 134 Riverside, CA 92501 (951) 328-4450

TERRY NAFISI District Court Executive and Clerk of Court

Western District Of Texas CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS 200 West Eighth Street Austin, TX 78701 Transfer of Criminal Case or Magistrate Case DEPUTY CLERK _ Case Title: USA v Frederic Alan Gladle Case No. CR 11-1170 A11CR 708 1 Y Dear Sir/Madam: Pursuant to F.R.CR.P. 5: ☐ Certified copy of case file documents (Filed prior to January 1, 2007**) and docket sheet This is an electronically filed case and the documents are available through pacer Not for public view document (pursuant to Judicial Conference policy) Original Bond ☐ Original Bond to be forwarded by Fiscal or **Original Passport** ☐ Declaration re: Passport or Original Passport (received on or after 1-1-07) will be transferred by Pretrial Services П Other Pyrsuant to
F.R.CR.P. 20 / □ F.R.CR.P. 21: This is an electronically filed case and the document are available through pacer. Certified copy of docket sheet Certified copy of Indictment / Information** ☐ Original Consent of defendant **Original Passport** ☐ Declaration re: Passport or Original Passport (received on or after 1-1-07) will be transferred by Pretrial Services Not for public view document (pursuant to Judicial Conference policy) Other SEALED Magistrate case attached **Note: Documents filed on or after January 1, 2007 are available electronically through PACER. Electronically filed documents can be retrieved by using your court's pacer account. Sincerely, Clerk, U.S. District Court By Janet_Aghbolaghi@cacd.uscourts.gov Deputy Clerk cc: U.S. Attorney -Central District of California and Receiving District, Pretrial Services TO BE COMPLETED BY RECEIVING DISTRICT

Please acknowledge receipt via e-mail to the appropriate address listed below and provide the case number:

☐ CrimIntakeCourtDocs-LA@cacd.uscourts.gov ☐ CrimIntakeCourtDocs-RS@cacd.uscourts.gov ☐ CrimIntakeCourtDocs-SA@cacd.uscourts.gov	(Los Angeles Offices) (Riverside Office) (Santa Ana Office)
Case No:	Clerk, U.S. District Court
Date	By: